Inference of Geographic Transmission Probability of Influenza Viruses from a Large Phylogenetic Tree
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we infer the Geographic Transmission Probability of influenza viruses from a large phylogenetic tree. For this purpose, firstly, we define a statistical model for generating geographic label assignments for a given phylogenetic tree. Then, we address the optimal tree label assignment problem (OTLAP) using the MAP-estimation. Secondly, we present an efficient dynamic programming algorithm DPAO that solves the OTLAP in \(O(km^2n)\) time for an input tree with maximum degree \(k\) consisting of \(n\) nodes, and a \(m \times m\) cost matrix over a label alphabet of size \(m\). Finally, we apply our algorithm to the OTLAP for the phylogenetic tree of influenza viruses, then we estimate the Geographic Transmission Probability of influenza viruses.

2 Modeling and Algorithm

We define a generative model for geographic label assignments to the nodes of a given phylogenetic tree (Figure 1). Let \(\Sigma = \{1, \ldots, m\}\) be an alphabet of \(m\) geographic labels such as Asia, North-America, South-America, Oceania and Europe at which virus sequences are sampled. Suppose that \(T\) is a phylogenetic tree with \(n_1\) internal nodes in \(V_I = \{1, \ldots, n_1\}\) and \(n_2\) leaves in \(V_L = \{n_1+1, \ldots, n_1+n_2\}\). Let \(n = n_1+n_2\) be the total size of \(T\). Internal and external label assignments are mappings \(f: V_I \rightarrow \Sigma\) and \(g: V_L \rightarrow \Sigma\) that assign geographic labels of \(\Sigma\) to internal nodes and leaves, respectively.

Let \(x = (x_i)_{i=1}^{n_1}\) and \(z = (z_j)_{j=1}^{n_1+n_2}\) be the vectors of \(n_1\) internal labels and \(n_2\) external labels, respectively. Each \(x_i\) (\(i \in V_I\)) and \(z_j\) (\(j \in V_L\)) indicate the labels assigned by \(f\) and \(g\) to the \(i\)-th internal node and the \(j\)-th leaf of \(T\). Let \(\Theta\) be a set of parameters that define the probability \(q(x_0 | \Theta)\) of the label \(x_0\) of the root, and the conditional probability \(p(x | y, \Theta)\) for the label \(x\) of node \(i\) and the label \(y\) of its parent \(\pi(i)\). Then, our probabilistic model is given by the joint probability distribution for \(x\) and \(z\):

\[
p(x, z | \Theta) = q(x_0 | \Theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} p(x_i | x_{\pi(i)}, \Theta) \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} p(z_j | x_{\pi(j)}, \Theta)
\]

The input of the problem is a triple \(I = (T, g, \Theta)\). The task is the MAP-estimation (maximum a posteriori probability estimation) of internal labeling \(f\), that is, to find a labeling \(x\) that maximizes the a posteriori probability \(p(x | z, \Theta)\). We present an efficient algorithm DPAO (Dynamic Programming Algorithm for OTLAP) for the problem. To perform MAP-estimation, we transform Eq. (1) to the score function in additive form \(S(x, z | \Theta) = - \log p(x, z | \Theta) = I_x + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} D_{x,x_{\pi(i)}} + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} D_{z_j,x_{\pi(j)}}\), where \(I_x = - \log q(x | \Theta)\) and \(D_{x,y} = - \log p(x | y, \Theta)\). Given \(z\), DPAO finds the best \(x\) that minimizes
Table 1: The Estimated Geographic Transmission Probability. Row variables represent parent node’s geographic label and the column variables represent child node’s geographic label. EA, E, NA, O, CA, SA, A, and ME are abbreviations for E-SE-Asia, Europe, N-America, Oceania, C-Asia, S-America, Africa and Middle-East respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From \ To</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>ME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: A phylogenetic tree with labeled leaves.

the score \( S(x, z | \Theta) \) by dynamic programming with table DP Table \( BS[v][b] \) for all combinations of node \( v \) and label \( b \), which stores the minimum score of the subtree \( T(v) \) with the root label \( b \in \Sigma \) over all assignments. The subprocedure ComputeTable computes DP Table from leaf to root as follows. If \( v \) is a leaf, \( BS[v][b] = 0 \) if \( g(v) = b \) and \( \infty \) otherwise. If \( v \) is internal node, ComputeTable firstly call itself recursively to compute the DP Table for all of \( v \)'s children. Then, it computes \( BS[v][a] = \sum_{i=1}^{k} BS[v_i][b] \), where \( v_i \) is the \( i \)-th child of \( v \) and \( BS[v_i][b] = \min\{D_{ab} + BS[v_i][b] \mid b \in \Sigma \} \). Finally, subprocedure TraceBack computes from root to leaf the optimal tree label assignment \( x \) with DP Table. It is not hard to see that DPAO computes OTLAP in polynomial time for node.

3 Experimental Result and Discussion

A total of 3791 HA influenza virus sequences were downloaded from NCBI Influenza Virus Resource. Then, a phylogenetic tree was constructed by neighbor-joining method [1]. Each leaf node has a geographic label indicating the area where the virus was isolated. The geographic labels of internal nodes are inferred by DPAO algorithm. For each pair of areas, the number of edges that have the areas at their ends are counted. The Geographic Transmission Probability from area \( A \) to area \( B \) was obtained by dividing the number of those edges connecting \( A \) to \( B \) by the total number of edges connecting area \( A \) to any areas. Table 1 shows the resulting table. The asymmetricity seen in the table suggests that there are difference between geographic distribution of where viruses come from and that of where viruses go to. See [4] for the details.
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